

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

-----X
In re:

Libor-Based Financial Instruments
Antitrust Litigation.

-----X
This document applies to:

CASES LISTED IN APPENDIX
-----X

USDC SDNY
DOCUMENT
ELECTRONICALLY FILED
DOC #:
DATE FILED: 2/23/2015

11 MDL 2262 (NRB)

PARTIAL JUDGMENT

Whereas the Individual Plaintiffs in eighteen cases (see appendix) having requested the entry of partial judgment in order to allow them to participate in the pending appeal from final judgment in Gelboim v. Credit Suisse Group AG, No. 12-cv-1025, and the matter having come before the Honorable Loretta A. Preska, United States District Judge, and the Court, on February 13, 2015, having rendered its Order granting the requests, and requesting the Clerk of Court to enter partial judgments pursuant to Rule 54(b) as to the Sherman Act claims listed in the appendix, it is,

ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED: That for the reasons stated in the Court's Order dated February 13, 2015, the requests are granted; there is no just reason for delay pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 54(b), partial judgment is entered in favor of the Defendants as to the Sherman Act claims listed in the appendix.

Dated: New York, New York
February 23, 2015

RUBY J. KRAJICK

Clerk of Court

BY:

Deputy Clerk

**THIS DOCUMENT WAS ENTERED
ON THE DOCKET ON _____**

APPENDIX

This document applies to the following cases and orders judgment as to the following claims:

CASE NAME	CASE NO.	COMPLAINT/CLAIM
In re Libor-Based Financial Instruments Antitrust Litigation	11-md-2262	—
City of Riverside et al. v. Bank of America Corp. et al.	13-cv-0597	No. 11-md-2262, ECF No. 684, claim 9
County of San Mateo et al. v. Bank of America Corp. et al.	13-cv-0625	No. 11-md-2262, ECF No. 684, claim 9
East Bay Municipal Utility District v. Bank of America Corp. et al.	13-cv-0626	No. 11-md-2262, ECF No. 684, claim 9
City of Richmond et al. v. Bank of America Corp. et al.	13-cv-0627	No. 11-md-2262, ECF No. 684, claim 9
County of San Diego v. Bank of America Corp. et al.	13-cv-0667	No. 11-md-2262, ECF No. 684, claim 9

Amabile et al. v. Bank of America Corp. et al.	13-cv-1700	No. 13-cv-1700, ECF No. 12, claim 5
Salix Capital US Inc. et al. v. Banc of America Securities LLC et al.	13-cv-4018	No. 13-cv-4018, ECF No. 69, claim 22
Regents of the University of California v. Bank of America Corp. et al.	13-cv-5186	No. 11-md-2262, ECF No. 684, claim 9
County of Sonoma et al. v. Bank of America Corp. et al.	13-cv-5187	No. 11-md-2262, ECF No. 684, claim 9
San Diego Association of Governments v. Bank of America Corp. et al.	13-cv-5221	No. 11-md-2262, ECF No. 684, claim 9
County of Sacramento v. Bank of America Corp. et al.	13-cv-5569	No. 11-md-2262, ECF No. 684, claim 9
City of Houston v. Bank of America Corp. et al.	13-cv-5616	No. 11-md-2262, ECF No. 685, claim 9
City of Philadelphia v. Bank of America Corp. et al.	13-cv-6020	No. 13-cv-6020, ECF No. 42, claim 14
National Credit Union Administration Board v. Credit Suisse Group AG et al.	13-cv-7394	No. 11-md-2262, ECF No. 662, claim 1

← Fitch

County of Mendocino v. Bank of America Corp. et al.	13-cv-8644	No. 11-md-2262, ECF No. 684, claim 9
Darby Financial Products et al. v. Barclays Bank plc et al.	13-cv-8799	No. 13-cv-8799, ECF No. 36, claim 13
Bay Area Toll Authority v. Bank of America Corp. et al.	14-cv-3094	No. 11-md-2262, ECF No. 673, claim 1
Prudential Investment Portfolios 2 et al. v. Bank of America Corp. et al.	14-cv-4189	No. 14-cv-4189, ECF No. 18, claim 11